

Message Text

PAGE 01 STATE 140808

42

ORIGIN L-03

INFO OCT-01 NEA-05 ARA-10 EA-07 EUR-06 AF-05 ADP-00 /037 R

66607

DRAFTED BY: L/OA:HDCAMITTA:JV

APPROVED BY: L/OA:HDCAMITTA

NEA/EGY - MR. SHANLEY

----- 120094

R 181728Z JUL 73

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO AMEMBASSY SANTIAGO

AMEMBASSY REYKJAVIK

AMEMBASSY BELGRADE

AMEMBASSY JAKARTA

AMEMBASSY KUWAIT

AMEMBASSY ACCRA

AMEMBASSY TRIPOLI

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

AMEMBASSY OTTAWA

AMEMBASSY DUBLIN

USINT CAIRO

UNCLAS STATE 140808

FOLLOWING SENT SECSTATE INFO USUN NEW YORK FROM GENEVA ON JUL 13,
RPTD TO YOU:

QUOTE

UNCLAS SECTION 1 OF 2 GENEVA 3585

E.O.11652 NA

TAGS PBOR UN

SUBJ LOS: SEABED CMTE MEETING, SUBCMTE II

WORKING GROUP, JULY 11, 1973

1. SUMMARY. WORKING GROUP CONTINUED STRUCTURED DISCUSSION
ON CONTINENTAL SHELF AND ECONOMIC ZONE. SOVIETS PROPOSED
CONTINENTAL SHELF LIMIT OF 500 METERS OR 100 MILES.
UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 STATE 140808

2. CHILE EMPHASIZED ECONOMIC ZONE AND REFERRED
TO CONTINENTAL SHELF. IN LONG STATEMENT, REP (ZEGERS)
MADE THREE POINTS. FIRST WAS THAT REGIME OF SEABED BEYOND
NATIONAL JURISDICTION BEING CONSIDERED IN SUBCMTE

I WAS LINKED TO CONSIDERATION OF SEABED IN SUBCMTE II. SECOND WAS POLITICAL UNITY OF VARIOUS DEFINITIONS OF LIMITS FOR CONTINENTAL SHELF, ECONOMIC ZONE AND TERRITORIAL SEA. THIRD CONCERNED ECONOMIC ZONE WHERE COASTAL STATE WOULD HAVE EXTENSIVE POWERS OVER RESOURCES AND SIMILAR MATTERS SUCH AS MARINE POLLUTION, SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH AND ARTIFICIAL INSTALLATIONS BUT THERE WOULD BE FULL FREEDOM OF NAVIGATION, OVERFLIGHT, LAYING OF SUBMARINE CABLES AND "SO FORTH". IN CONNECTION WITH 200- MILE ECONOMIC ZONE, REP NOTED THAT WIDE SUPPORT HAD BEEN GIVEN AND THAT THIS WAS "POLITICAL FACT" AND EVIDENCE OF MERGING INTERNATIONAL CUSTOM. CHILE FAVORED SINGLE LEGAL STATUS FOR RESOURCES OF WATER COLUMN AND SEABED. CONCEPT OF CONTINENTAL SHELF HAD BECOME PART OF CUSTOMARY LAW AND RIGHTS TO SHELF RESOURCES EXISTED BEYOND 200 MILES; SUCH ACQUIRED RIGHTS SHOULD BE TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT. LANDLOCKED STATES SHOULD BE COMPENSATED OR REGIONAL ARRANGEMENTS MADE FOR THEM.

3. NEW ZEALAND FIRMLY SUPPORTED ECONOMIC ZONE APPROACH. REP STATED THAT NEW ZEALAND HAD RELIED UPON SHELF DOCTRINE AND ISSUED LICENSES FOR CONCESSION AREAS BEYOND 200 MILES WHICH WERE OF GREAT IMPORTANCE. WHILE RESOLUTION OF SUCH EXISTING RIGHTS ISSUES WAS NOT EASY, NEW ZEALAND STRONGLY BELIEVED THAT SOME EQUITABLE FORMULA MUST BE FOUND.

4. ICELAND STRESSED NEED FOR WG TO MOVE PROMPTLY. ICELAND SUPPORTED ECONOMIC ZONE UP TO 200 MILES. CLAIMS BEYOND 200 SHOULD BE LOOKED AT SEPARATELY AND PERHAPS FINANCIAL ARRANGEMENTS COULD BE WORKED OUT IN THIS REGARD INTERNATIONAL AUTHORITY.

5. YUGOSLAVIA BORDERED ON SEMI-ENCLOSED SEA WITH NO POSSIBILITY OF EXPANDING ITS CONTINENTAL SHELF AREA FURTHER. YUGOSLAVIA FAVORED DISTANCE CRITERION FOR SHELF LIMITS BECAUSE IT WAS MORE CONVENIENT AND EASIER
UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 STATE 140808

TO APPLY.

6. INDONESIA HAD NO DIFFICULTIES WITH EITHER SANTO DOMINGO ARTICLES OR OAU DECLARATION. REP (DJALAL) BELIEVED WG WAS MOVING TOWARD CONSENSUS ON (1) 200 MILE DISTANCE CRITERION FOR ECONOMIC ZONE; (2) LIMIT BEING MEASURED FROM TERRITORIAL SEA BASELINE, I.E., BOUNDARY LINE OF CONTINENTAL SHELF SHOULD TAKE INTO ACCOUNT UNITY OF ARCHIPELAGO STATE; (2) FOR SHALLOW AREAS OUTSIDE ECONOMIC ZONE, COASTAL STATE HAVING CONTINENTAL SHELF RIGHTS; (4) AREA OF CONTINENTAL SHELF OUTSIDE ECONOMIC ZONE BEING AT LEAST TO 200 METERS DEPTH LINE; AND (5) RIGHTS ACQUIRED IN GOOD FAITH BEING RESPECTED. WG SHOULD LOCALIZE DEBATE ON ISSUE OF RIGHTS BEYOND 200

MILES AND UP TO ABYSSAL PLAIN. COMPROMISE WAS POSSIBLE BETWEEN ACQUIRED RIGHTS AND NEED TO ACCORD EQUITY TO LANDLOCKED STATES AND SIMILARLY SITUATED STATES. IN ANY CASE, COASTAL STATE COULD NOT BE DISLODGED FROM ITS SHELF RIGHTS WITHIN 200 MILES IN WHICH CONTROL OVER MARINE POLLUTION AND SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH WOULD BE EXERCISED. INDONESIA WAS ALSO SYMPATHETIC TO SPECIAL CONCERNS OF STATES BORDERING ON SEMI-ENCLOSED SEAS.

7. KUWAIT RAISED FOLLOWING QUESTIONS REGARDING COASTAL STATES HAVING CONTINENTAL SHELF RIGHTS BEYOND 200 MILES: (1) WOULD NOT SUCH RIGHTS GIVE MORE TO COASTAL STATES THAN THEY NEEDED? (2) WOULD NOT THERE BE INEQUITY TO OTHERS? (3) WOULD NOT THIS RESULT LIMIT INTERNATIONAL AREA IN WHICH INTERNATIONAL MACHINERY WOULD OPERATE?

UNCLAS SECTION 2 OF 2 GENEVA 3585

8. EGYPT BELIEVED THERE WERE THREE POINTS OF AGREEMENT IN WG: (1) PRESENT CONTINENTAL SHELF DEFINITION WAS INADEQUATE; (2) A NEW DEFINITION WAS NEEDED; AND (3) NEITHER NAVIGATION, OVERFLIGHT NOR LAYING OF SUBMERGED PIPELINES AND CABLES WOULD BE AFFECTED BY NEW DEFINITION. EGYPT INDICATED SUPPORT FOR 200-MILE BREADTH, FOR REGIONAL ARRANGEMENTS AND FOR REVENUE SHARING. EGYPT PREFERRED GIVING COASTAL STATES SOVEREIGNTY NOT UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 04 STATE 140808

MERE JURISDICTION OVER RESOURCES.

9. GHANA SPOKE IN SUPPORT OF 200- MILE EXCLUSIVE ECONOMIC ZONE WHICH WOULD INCLUDE CONTROL OVER MARINE POLLUTION AND SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH. ECONOMIC ZONE SHOULD NOT ONLY BE OF BENEFIT TO COASTAL STATES BUT ALSO LANDLOCKED, SHELF-LOCKED AND "ALL DISADVANTAGED STATES." TRADITIONAL USES OF SEA FOR NAVIGATION, OVERFLIGHT AND LAYING OF SUBMARINE CABLES AND PIPELINES SHOULD NOT BE HINDERED IN ECONOMIC ZONE. GHANA FAVORED ACQUIRED RIGHTS BEYOND 200 MILES BEING ADMINISTERED BY INTERNATIONAL COMMUNITY.

10. LIBYA STATED CONTINENTAL SHELF CONVENTION MUST BE REVISED AND FAVORED 200- MILE ECONOMIC ZONE MEASURED FROM BASELINE FOR TERRITORIAL SEA. FREEDOM OF NAVIGATION, OVERFLIGHT AND LAYING OF PIPELINES AND CABLES WOULD BE UNAFFECTED; HOWEVER, COASTAL STATE COULD STILL TAKE APPROPRIATE MEASURES TO PROTECT ITS NATIONAL SECURITY.

11. ARGENTINA OBJECTED TO USE OF TERM "CLAIM" AS IT ALREADY KNEW WHAT IT POSSESSED (REFERRING TO SHELF RESOURCES BEYOND 200 MILES). CHAIRMAN ENDED MORNING SESSION BY ASKING DELEGATES TO EXPLAIN MEANS OF COMPENSATION FOR

THOSE HARMED BY 200- MILE LIMIT.

12. USSR OPENED AFTERNOON SESSION OF SUBCMTE I WG WITH LONG DISCUSSION STRONGLY SUPPORTING TRADITIONAL CONTINENTAL SHELF CONCEPT. REP STRESSED THAT ECONOMIC ZONE SHOULD NOT REPLACE CONTINENTAL SHELF CONVENTION AND HE CONTRASTED MINERAL RESOURCES AND RESOURCES OF WATER COLUMN. EXPANSIVE EXTENSION OF COASTAL STATE SEABED RIGHTS SUCH AS 200 MILES WOULD DEROGATE FROM "WHAT IS USUALLY CALLED COMMON HERITAGE." ESTABLISHMENT OF INTERNATIONAL MACHINERY TO EXPLOIT WOULD LOSE ALL PRACTICAL SIGNIFICANCE WITH 200- MILE LIMIT AS ONLY 13 PERCENT OF KNOWN HYDROCARBONS WOULD BE IN INTERNATIONAL AREA. USSR SUPPORTED DEPTH LIMIT FOR CONTINENTAL SHELF OF 500 METERS WHICH CORRESPONDED WITH GEOMORPHOLOGICAL NATURE OF SHELF. THIS WOULD PLACE GREATER PART OF SLOPE AND PART OF RISE IN INTERNATIONAL AREA, THEREBY GIVING IT REAL ECONOMIC IMPORTANCE. IN CASES WHERE CONTINENTAL SHELF VERY NARROW, UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 05 STATE 140808

SOVIETS PROPOSED DISTANCE CRITERION OF 100 MILES. PRELIMINARY VIEW OF USSR WAS THAT REMOTE ISLANDS SHOULD RECEIVE MUCH NARROWER CONTINENTAL SHELF.

13. IRELAND REP EXPLAINED NATURAL PROLONGATION CONCEPT IN NORTH SEA CONTINENTAL SHELF CASES. PRINCIPAL POINT WAS THAT COASTAL STATES WOULD BE GIVING UP ACQUIRED RIGHTS IF RESOURCE RIGHTS TO NATURAL PROLONGATION AREA WERE TURNED OVER TO INTERNATIONAL AUTHORITY IN SOME FASHION.

14. CANADA RESPONDED TO TWO QUESTIONS POSED EARLIER BY EGYPT. FIRST CONCERNED AREA WHERE CANADA HAD OFFERED TO SHARE REVENUES. REP (BEESLEY) REPLIED THAT CANADIANS HAD OFFERED TO CONTRIBUTE A FIXED PERCENTAGE OF OFFSHORE REVENUES UP TO INTERNAL WATERS, I.E., WITHIN TERRITORIAL SEA AS WELL. SECOND RELATED TO STATUS OF AREA BEYOND 200 MILES AND OUT TO EDGE OF MARGIN. REP STATED THAT CANADA WOULD NEED COMPELLING REASONS FOR ALTERING ITS EXISTING LEGAL STATUS BUT THEY WERE WILLING TO NEGOTIATE REGARDING ASSURANCES FOR LANDLOCKED, SOME SHELF-LOCKED AND OTHER DISADVANTAGED STATES.

15. CHAIRMAN REITERATED THAT HE WOULD APPRECIATE DELEGATES CLARIFYING WHAT THEY MEANT BY COMPENSATION FOR GEOGRAPHICALLY DISADVANTAGED STATES, PARTICULARLY WITH REGARD TO RESOURCES IN AREA BEYOND ANY ECONOMIC ZONE. BASSIN UNQUOTE RUSH

UNCLASSIFIED

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 10 MAY 1999
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: n/a
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 18 JUL 1973
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: n/a
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: n/a
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment:
Disposition Date: 01 JAN 1960
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973STATE140808
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: L/OA:HDCAMITTA:JV
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: 11652 NA
Errors: n/a
Film Number: n/a
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t19730768/abqcemwl.tel
Line Count: 214
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Office: ORIGIN L
Original Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 4
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification:
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: cunninfx
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 16 JAN 2002
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <16-Jan-2002 by phillir0>; APPROVED <04-Feb-2002 by cunninfx>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status:
Subject: SUBJ LOS: SEABED CMTE MEETING, SUBCMTE II WORKING GROUP, JULY 11, 1973
TAGS: PBOR, UN
To: SANTIAGO
REYKJAVIK
BELGRADE
JAKARTA
KUWAIT
ACCRA
TRIPOLI

MOSCOW
OTTAWA
DUBLIN
CAIRO

Type: TE

Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005